

THE CATEGORY OF COLOMBEAU ALGEBRAS

LORENZO LUPERI BAGLINI AND PAOLO GIORDANO

ABSTRACT. In [11], we introduced the notion of asymptotic gauge (AG), and we used it to construct Colombeau AG-algebras. This construction concurrently generalizes that of many different algebras used in Colombeau's theory, e.g. the special one $\mathcal{G}^s$, the full one $\mathcal{G}^e$, the NSA based algebra of asymptotic functions $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, and the diffeomorphism invariant algebras $\mathcal{G}^d$, $\mathcal{G}^2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$. In this paper we study the categorical properties of the construction of Colombeau AG-algebras with respect to the choice of the AG, and we show their consequences regarding the solvability of generalized ODE.

1. INTRODUCTION

Although Colombeau algebras were introduced to find solutions of differential problems which are not solvable in classical spaces of distributions, it is well known that very simple equations remains not solvable also in these algebras, see e.g. [5, 11]. A step toward the analysis of these problems is the generalization of the role of the infinite nets $(\varepsilon^{-n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(0,1]}$ appearing in the definition of Colombeau algebras. This has already been done through the notions of asymptotic scale, $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$ -algebras, $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, V_{\mathcal{P}})$ -algebras, exponent weights and asymptotic gauges (AG), see [11] and references therein. In particular, if one considers the usual sheaf of smooth functions, Colombeau AG-algebras is the simplest and most general approach. In fact, Colombeau AG-algebras include different algebras, like the special one $\mathcal{G}^s$, the full one $\mathcal{G}^e$, the NSA based algebra of asymptotic functions $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, and the diffeomorphism invariant algebras $\mathcal{G}^d$, $\mathcal{G}^2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ (see [5]). Its simplicity lies, for all these algebras, in the use of the simple logical structure of quantifiers that characterizes the special algebra $\mathcal{G}^s$.

In the context of AG, it is therefore natural to set the following questions:

- Is the construction of the Colombeau algebra functorial with respect to the AG? Is this construction functorial with respect to the open set Ω ?
- When can we consider two AG as isomorphic? For instance, we will show that the AG of polynomial growth is isomorphic to the AG of exponential growth. This isomorphism holds in spite of the fact that using the latter we can solve ODE which are not solvable with the former, see Sec. 6.
- How to relate the solutions obtained using one AG to those obtained using another one?
- Colombeau theory can be more clearly summarized by saying that it permits to define a differential algebra together with an embedding of Schwartz's distributions. This embedding can be intrinsic, or diffeomorphism invariant,

P. Giordano has been supported by grants P25116-N25 and P25311-N25 of the Austrian Science Fund FWF.

L. Luperi Baglini has been supported by grants P25311-N25 and M1876-N35 of the Austrian Science Fund FWF.

or it can be chosen in order to have properties like $H(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, where H is the Heaviside's step function. Can we define a general category having as objects triples (G, ∂, i) made of an algebra G , a family of derivations ∂ and an embedding of distributions i ? Can we see $\mathcal{G}^s$ as a suitable functor with values in this category? What is the domain of this functor?

In the present work, we answer these questions.

2. SETS OF INDICES

2.1. Basic definitions. In [12], the general notion of sets of indices has been introduced. This notion permits to unify the presentation of several Colombeau-type algebras of nonlinear generalized functions. For reader's convenience, in this section we recall the notations and notions from [12] that we will use in the present work. For all the proofs, we refer to [12].

Definition 1. We say that $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ is a *set of indices* if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $(I, \leq)$ is a pre-ordered set, i.e., I is a non empty set with a reflexive and transitive relation $\leq$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}$ is a set of subsets of I such that $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$;
- (iii) $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{I} \exists C \in \mathcal{I} : C \subseteq A \cap B$.

For all $e \in I$, set $(\emptyset, e] := \{\varepsilon \in I \mid \varepsilon \leq e\}$. As usual, we say $\varepsilon < e$ if $\varepsilon \leq e$ and $\varepsilon \neq e$. Using these notations, we state the last condition in the definition of set of indices:

- (iv) If $e \leq a \in A \in \mathcal{I}$, the set $A_{\leq e} := (\emptyset, e] \cap A$ is downward directed by $<$, i.e., it is non empty and $\forall b, c \in A_{\leq e} \exists d \in A_{\leq e} : d < b, d < c$.

The following are examples of sets of indices.

Example 2.

- (i) Let $I^s := (0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $\leq$ be the usual order relation on $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathcal{I}^s := \{(0, \varepsilon_0] \mid \varepsilon_0 \in I\}$. Following [12], we denote by $\mathbb{I}^s := (I^s, \leq, \mathcal{I}^s)$ this set of indices.
- (ii) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use the symbol $r \odot \varphi$ to denote the function $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \frac{1}{r^n} \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$, see [12]. With the usual notations of [5], we define $I^e := \mathcal{A}_0$, $\mathcal{I}^e := \{\mathcal{A}_q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and for $\varepsilon, e \in I^e$, we set $\varepsilon \leq e$ iff there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $r \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon = r \odot e$. Then $\mathbb{I}^e := (I^e, \leq, \mathcal{I}^e)$ is a set of indices used in this framework to unify and simplify the full algebra $\mathcal{G}^e$ (see [12, Sec. 3]).
- (iii) For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0$, let us call *order of* φ the natural number

$$o(\varphi) := \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_n \setminus \mathcal{A}_{n+1}\}$$

and, for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}_0$, set

$$\varphi \lesssim \psi \text{ iff } o(\varphi) < o(\psi) \text{ or } \varphi \leq \psi \text{ in } \mathbb{I}^e.$$

We have that $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}^e = (\mathcal{A}_0, \lesssim, \{\mathcal{A}_q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}\})$ is a downward directed set of indices that can be used to try a simplification of the full algebra $\mathcal{G}^e$. See Sec. 3.1 for the nicer properties that downward directed sets have also with respect to the notions we are going to introduce.

Henceforward, functions of the type $f : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will also be called *nets*, and for their evaluation we will both use the notations f_ε or $f(\varepsilon)$, the latter in case the subscript notation is too cumbersome. When the domain I is clear, we use also the notation $f = (f_\varepsilon)$ for the whole net. Analogous notations will be used for nets of smooth functions $u = (u_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)^I$.

In each set of indices, we can define two notions of big-O for nets of real numbers. These two big-Os share the same (usual) properties of the classical one as preorders and concerning algebraic operations (see [12, Thm. 2.8, Thm. 2.14]). Since each set of the form $A_{\leq e} = (\emptyset, e] \cap A$ is downward directed, the first big-O is the usual one:

Definition 3. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices. Let $a \in A \in \mathcal{I}$ and let $(x_\varepsilon), (y_\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^I$ be two nets of real numbers defined in I . We write

$$x_\varepsilon = O_{a,A}(y_\varepsilon) \text{ as } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{I} \quad (2.1)$$

if

$$\exists H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \exists \varepsilon_0 \in A_{\leq a} \forall \varepsilon \in A_{\leq \varepsilon_0} : |x_\varepsilon| \leq H \cdot |y_\varepsilon|. \quad (2.2)$$

The second notion of big-O is the following:

Definition 4. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices. Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ be a non empty subset of $\mathcal{I}$ such that

$$\forall A, B \in \mathcal{J} \exists C \in \mathcal{J} : C \subseteq A \cap B. \quad (2.3)$$

Finally, let $(x_\varepsilon), (y_\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^I$ be nets of real numbers. Then we say

$$x_\varepsilon = O_{\mathcal{J}}(y_\varepsilon) \text{ as } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}$$

if

$$\exists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall a \in A : x_\varepsilon = O_{a,A}(y_\varepsilon).$$

We simply write $x_\varepsilon = O(y_\varepsilon)$ (as $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}$) when $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}$, i.e. to denote $x_\varepsilon = O_{\mathcal{I}}(y_\varepsilon)$.

For example, in case of the set of indices $\mathbb{I}^e$ used for the full algebra, we have $x_\varepsilon = O(y_\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}^e$ if and only if $\exists q \in \mathbb{N} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_q : x(\varepsilon \odot \varphi) = O[y(\varepsilon \odot \varphi)]$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, where the latter big-O is the classical one, see [12, Thm. 3.2]. We can hence recognise an important part of the usual definition of moderate and negligible nets for the full algebra $\mathcal{G}^e$. The abstract approach we use in this paper can be easily understood by interpreting $\mathbb{I}$ in the simplest case $\mathbb{I}^s$ of the special algebra and in the case $\mathbb{I}^e$ of the full algebra. In the former, any formula of the form $\exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall a \in A$ becomes $\exists \varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1] \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. In the latter it becomes $\exists q \in \mathbb{N} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_q$.

In every set of indices we can formalize the notion of *for ε sufficiently small* as follows.

Definition 5. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices. Let $a \in A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{P}(-)$ be a property, then we say

$$\forall \varepsilon \in A_{\leq a} : \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon),$$

and we read it *for ε sufficiently small in $A_{\leq a}$ the property $\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon)$ holds*, if

$$\exists e \in A_{\leq a} \forall \varepsilon \in A_{\leq e} : \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon). \quad (2.4)$$

Note that, by condition (iv) of Def. 1, it follows that $A_{\leq e} \neq \emptyset$, so that (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\exists e \leq a \forall \varepsilon \in A_{\leq e} : \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon).$$

Moreover, we say that

$$\forall^\mathbb{I} \varepsilon : \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon),$$

and we read it *for ε sufficiently small in $\mathbb{I}$ the property $\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon)$ holds, if $\exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall a \in A \forall^\mathbb{I} \varepsilon \in A_{\leq a} : \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon)$.*

Using this notion, we can define an order relation for nets.

Definition 6. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices, and $i, j : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nets. Then we say $i >_\mathbb{I} j$ if

$$\forall^\mathbb{I} \varepsilon : i_\varepsilon > j_\varepsilon.$$

Finally, we recall the notion of limit of a net of real numbers:

Definition 7. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices, $f : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a map, and $l \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$. Then we say that l is the *limit of f in $\mathbb{I}$* if

$$\exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall a \in A : l = \lim_{\varepsilon \leq a} f|_A(\varepsilon), \quad (2.5)$$

where the limit (2.5) is taken in the downward directed set $(\mathcal{O}, a] = I_{\leq a}$.

Let us observe that if $l = \lim_{\varepsilon \leq a} f|_A(\varepsilon)$ and $B \subseteq A$, $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $l = \lim_{\varepsilon \leq a} f|_B(\varepsilon)$; moreover, there exists at most one l verifying (2.5).

3. THE CATEGORY **IND**

We start by defining the notion of morphism between two sets of indices. This is also a natural step to define the concept of morphism of asymptotic gauges. A natural property to expect from a morphism $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ between sets of indices $\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2$ is the preservation of the notion of “eventually” for properties $\mathcal{P}$, i.e. that $\forall^{\mathbb{I}_1} \varepsilon_1 \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ implies $\forall^{\mathbb{I}_2} \varepsilon_2 \mathcal{P}(f(\varepsilon_2))$. Let us note that we start from a property $\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$, for $\varepsilon_1 \in I_1$, and we want to arrive at a property $\mathcal{P}(f(\varepsilon_2))$, for $\varepsilon_2 \in I_2$.

Definition 8. Let $\mathbb{I}_k = (I_k, \leq_k, \mathcal{I}_k)$ be sets of indices for $k = 1, 2$. Let $a \in A \in \mathcal{I}_1$, $b \in B \in \mathcal{I}_2$. Then we say that $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ is *infinitesimal* if

- (i) $f : I_2 \longrightarrow I_1$;
- (ii) $\forall \alpha \in A_{\leq a} \forall^{\mathbb{I}_2} \varepsilon_2 \in B_{\leq b} : f(\varepsilon_2) \in A_{\leq \alpha}$.

Moreover, we say that $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ is a *morphism* of sets of indices if

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{I}_1 \forall a \in A \exists B \in \mathcal{I}_2 \forall b \in B : f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b} \text{ is infinitesimal.}$$

Therefore, a morphism $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ is a map in the opposite direction $f : I_2 \longrightarrow I_1$ between the underlying sets. Only in this way we have that the map f preserves the asymptotic relations that hold in $\mathbb{I}_1$, see Cor. 13 for a list of examples.

Example 9.

- (i) For every set of indices $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ if $1_\mathbb{I} : I \longrightarrow I$ is the identity function then $1_\mathbb{I} : \mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is a morphism.
- (ii) Let $f : (0, 1] \longrightarrow (0, 1]$ be a map, then $f : \mathbb{I}^s \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^s$ is a morphism if and only if $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \exists \delta \in (0, 1] : f((0, \delta]) \subseteq (0, \varepsilon]$, i.e. if and only if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(\varepsilon) = 0$.
- (iii) For the set of indices $\mathbb{I}^e$ of the full algebra, we recall that $(\mathcal{A}_q)_{\leq \varphi} = (\mathcal{O}, \varphi]$ and $\varphi := \min \{\text{diam}(\text{supp } \varphi), 1\}$. If $f : I^e \longrightarrow I^e$ is a map, then we have that $f : (\mathcal{O}, \varphi] \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}, \psi]$ is infinitesimal if and only if $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \exists \delta \in (0, 1] : f(\{r \odot \psi \mid r \in (0, \delta]\}) \subseteq \{r \odot \varphi \mid r \in (0, \varepsilon]\}$. Therefore, this implies that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{f(\varepsilon \odot \psi)} = 0$. If we denote by $\frac{f(\varepsilon \odot \psi)}{\varphi}$ the unique $r \in (0, 1]$

such that $f(\varepsilon \odot \psi) = r \odot \varphi$ (in case it exists), then $f : (\emptyset, \varphi] \longrightarrow (\emptyset, \psi]$ is infinitesimal if and only if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(\varepsilon \odot \psi)}{\varphi} = 0$. Moreover, $f : \mathbb{I}^e \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^e$ is a morphism if and only if $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_m \exists q \in \mathbb{N} \forall \psi \in \mathcal{A}_q : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(\varepsilon \odot \psi)}{\varphi} = 0$. This and the previous example justify our use of the name *infinitesimal* in Def. 8.

- (iv) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0$ be fixed, let $\mathbb{I}_\varphi := ((\emptyset, \varphi], \leq, \{(\emptyset, \varphi]\})$, where the order relation on $\mathbb{I}_\varphi$ is the restriction of the order relation on $\mathbb{I}^e$. If $f : (0, 1] \longrightarrow (\emptyset, \varphi]$ is the function $f(r) := r \odot \varphi$ for every $r \in (0, 1]$ then we have that $f : \mathbb{I}_\varphi \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^s$ is a morphism. Conversely, if $g : (\emptyset, \varphi] \longrightarrow (0, 1]$ maps every $\psi \in (\emptyset, \varphi]$ to the unique $r \in (0, 1]$ such that $\psi = r \odot \varphi$, i.e. $g(\psi) = \frac{\psi}{\varphi}$, then $g : \mathbb{I}^s \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_\varphi$ is a morphism. We have that $f = g^{-1}$.
- (v) Let us denote by $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ the set of indices $(\mathbb{N}, \lesssim, \mathcal{I}_n)$ where $\lesssim$ is the inverse of the usual order notion on $\mathbb{N}$ (namely, $m \lesssim n$ iff $m \geq n$) and, for every natural number n , $\mathcal{I}_n := \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \lesssim n\}$. If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is the function that maps n to $\frac{1}{n+1}$, we have that $f : \mathbb{I}^s \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is a morphism. Conversely, if $g : (0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the function that maps ε to the floor $\lfloor \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rfloor$ then $g : \overline{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^s$ is a morphism.
- (vi) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us fix $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{A}_n \setminus \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ be the function that maps n to φ_n . Then we have that $f : \tilde{\mathbb{I}}^e \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is a morphism. Conversely, if $o : \mathcal{A}_0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is the function that maps φ to $o(\varphi)$ (see (iii) in Example 2) then $o : \overline{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{I}}^e$ is a morphism.

Lemma 10. *Let $\mathbb{I}_k = (I_k, \leq_k, \mathcal{I}_k)$ be sets of indices for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Let $a \in A \in \mathcal{I}_1$, $b \in B \in \mathcal{I}_2$ and $c \in C \in \mathcal{I}_3$. Then if $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ and $g : B_{\leq b} \longrightarrow C_{\leq c}$ are infinitesimals, also the composition $f \circ g : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow C_{\leq c}$ is infinitesimal.*

Proof. By definition, for every $\alpha \in A_{\leq a}$ there exists $\delta_2 \leq b$ such that $f(\varepsilon_2) \in A_{\leq \alpha}$ for every $\varepsilon_2 \in B$ such that $\varepsilon_2 \leq \delta_2 \leq b$. But $g : B_{\leq b} \longrightarrow C_{\leq c}$ infinitesimal means

$$\forall \beta \in B_{\leq b} \forall \varepsilon_3 \in C_{\leq c} : g(\varepsilon_3) \in B_{\leq \beta}.$$

We apply this property with $\beta = \delta_2$ to get $g(\varepsilon_3) \in B_{\leq \delta_2}$ for every $\varepsilon_3 \in C_{\leq c}$ sufficiently small, let us say for each $\varepsilon_3 \leq \delta_3 \leq c$. Therefore $f(g(\varepsilon_3)) \in A_{\leq \alpha}$ for every $\varepsilon_3 \in C_{\leq c}$ such that $\varepsilon_3 \leq \delta_3$. $\square$

The following results motivate our definition of morphism of sets of indices.

Lemma 11. *In the assumptions of Def. 8, let $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ be infinitesimal, and let $\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ be a given property of $\varepsilon_1 \in I_1$. If $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in A_{\leq a} \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ then $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in B_{\leq b} \mathcal{P}(f(\varepsilon_2))$.*

Proof. Let $e_1 \in A_{\leq a}$ be such that $\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ holds for all $\varepsilon_1 \in A_{\leq e_1}$. Since $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal, there exists $e_2 \in B_{\leq b}$ be such that $f(\varepsilon_2) \in A_{\leq e_1}$ for all $\varepsilon_2 \in B_{\leq e_2}$. Therefore $\mathcal{P}(f(\varepsilon_2))$ holds for all $\varepsilon_2 \in B_{\leq e_2}$. $\square$

Theorem 12. *Let $\mathbb{I}_k = (I_k, \leq_k, \mathcal{I}_k)$ be sets of indices for $k = 1, 2$. Let $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ be a morphism of sets of indices and let $\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ be a given property of $\varepsilon_1 \in I_1$. If $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in I_1 \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ then $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in I_2 \mathcal{P}(f(\varepsilon_2))$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{I}_1$ be such that $\forall a \in A \forall \varepsilon_1 \in A_{\leq a} \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon_1)$ holds. Since $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{I}_1$, there exists $a \in A$. But $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ is a morphism, so there exists $B \in \mathcal{I}_2$ such that $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal for all $b \in B$. By Lemma 11, we deduce that $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in B_{\leq b} \mathcal{P}(f(\varepsilon_2))$, which is our conclusion. $\square$

Three simple consequences of Theorem 12 are presented in the following Corollary.

Corollary 13. *Let $\mathbb{I}_k = (I_k, \leq_k, \mathcal{I}_k)$ be sets of indices for $k = 1, 2$. If $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ is a morphism of sets of indices, then the following properties hold:*

- (i) *If $i >_{\mathbb{I}_1} j$ then $i \circ f >_{\mathbb{I}_2} j \circ f$;*
- (ii) *If $x_{\varepsilon_1} = O(y_{\varepsilon_1})$ as $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{I}_1$, then $x_{f(\varepsilon_1)} = O(y_{f(\varepsilon_1)})$ as $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{I}_1$;*
- (iii) *For every net $g : I_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if $l = \lim_{\mathbb{I}_1} g$ then $l = \lim_{\mathbb{I}_2} g \circ f$.*

Proof. Property (i) follows directly from Thm. 12 because $i >_{\mathbb{I}_1} j$ means $\forall \varepsilon : i_\varepsilon > j_\varepsilon$. To prove (ii), let $A \in \mathcal{I}_1$ be such that $x_{\varepsilon_1} = O_{A,a}(y_{\varepsilon_1})$ for all $a \in A$. Therefore, there exists $H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\forall \varepsilon_1 \in A \quad |x_{\varepsilon_1}| \leq H \cdot |y_{\varepsilon_1}|$. But $A \neq \emptyset$, so we can pick $a \in A$, and $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ yields the existence of $B \in \mathcal{I}_2$ such that $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal for all $b \in B$. By Lemma 11 we get $\forall \varepsilon_2 \in B_{\leq b} \quad |x_{f(\varepsilon_1)}| \leq H \cdot |y_{f(\varepsilon_1)}|$, from which the conclusion follows. Using the same ideas, we can prove (iii). $\square$

Theorem 14. *The class of all sets of indices together with their morphisms form a category **Ind**.*

Proof. The only non-trivial property to prove concerns composition, namely that for every pair of arrows $\mathbb{I}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{I}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{I}_3$, we have that $\mathbb{I}_1 \xrightarrow{f \circ g} \mathbb{I}_3$ is a morphism of set of indices. By our hypotheses we know that:

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{I}_1 \forall a \in A \exists B \in \mathcal{I}_2 \forall b \in B : f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b} \text{ is infinitesimal}; \quad (3.1)$$

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{I}_2 \forall b \in B \exists C \in \mathcal{I}_3 \forall c \in C : g : B_{\leq b} \longrightarrow C_{\leq c} \text{ is infinitesimal}. \quad (3.2)$$

For $a \in A \in \mathcal{I}_1$, from (3.1) we get a non empty $B \in \mathcal{I}_2$. Take any element $b \in B$, so that (3.2) yields the existence of $C \in \mathcal{I}_3$. For $c \in C$, both (3.1) and (3.2) give that $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ and $g : B_{\leq b} \longrightarrow C_{\leq c}$ are infinitesimal, and the conclusion follows from Lemma 10. $\square$

3.1. Downward directed and segmented sets of indices. In this section, we study suitable classes of sets of indices where the notion of morphism of the category **Ind** simplifies.

Definition 15. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices, then we say that

- (i) $\mathbb{I}$ is *segmented* if $\forall A \in \mathcal{I} \exists a : (\emptyset, a] \subseteq A$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{I}$ is *downward directed* if $(I, \leq)$ is downward directed, i.e. for every $a, b \in I$ there exists $c \in I$ such that $c \leq a, c \leq b$.

Moreover, if $\mathbb{I}$ is downward directed, we call *canonical set of indices* generated by $\mathbb{I}$, and we denote it by $\bar{\mathbb{I}}$, the set of indices $\bar{\mathbb{I}} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{S}_I)$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_I := \{(\emptyset, a] \mid a \in I\} \cup \{I\}.$$

Since $(I, \leq)$ is downward directed, it is immediate to prove that $\bar{\mathbb{I}}$ is a set of indices.

Example 16.

- (i) If $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}^s$ then $\bar{\mathbb{I}} = \mathbb{I}$.
- (ii) If $\mathbb{I} = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}^e$ then $\bar{\mathbb{I}} \neq \mathbb{I}$.

As mentioned above, the notion of morphism is simplified when we work with this type of sets of indices.

Theorem 17. *Let $\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2$ be sets of indices and let $f : I_2 \longrightarrow I_1$ be a map. Let us assume that $\mathbb{I}_1$ is segmented and $\mathbb{I}_2$ is downward directed. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ is a morphism of sets of indices;
- (ii) $\forall a \in I_1 \exists b \in I_2 : f((\emptyset, b]) \subseteq (\emptyset, a]$;
- (iii) $\forall a \in I_1 \forall b \in I_2 : f : (I_1)_{\leq a} \longrightarrow (I_2)_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal.

Proof. To prove that (i) entails (ii), let $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ be a morphism and let $a \in I_1$. Setting $A = I_1$ in the definition of morphism, we get the existence of $B \in \mathcal{I}_2$ such that $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq \bar{b}}$ is infinitesimal for each $\bar{b} \in B$. Take any $\bar{b} \in B \neq \emptyset$. Setting $\alpha = a$ in the definition of infinitesimal (Def. 8), we get the existence of $b \in B_{\leq \bar{b}} \subseteq I_2$ such that $f(\varepsilon_2) \in (\emptyset, a]$ for all $\varepsilon_2 \in (\emptyset, b]$, which is our conclusion.

To prove that (ii) entails (iii), let $a \in I_1$, $b \in I_2$ and let $\bar{b} \in I_2$ be such that $f(\emptyset, \bar{b}] \subseteq (\emptyset, a]$. Let $\alpha \in (\emptyset, a]$ and let $\tilde{b} \in B_{\leq \bar{b}}$ be such that $f(\emptyset, \tilde{b}] \subseteq (\emptyset, \alpha]$. Since $(I_2, \leq)$ is downward directed, we can find $\beta \in I_2$ such that $\beta \leq b$, $\beta \leq \tilde{b}$. By construction, $f(\emptyset, \beta] \subseteq (\emptyset, \alpha]$ and $(\emptyset, \beta] \subseteq (\emptyset, b] = (I_2)_{\leq b}$. Therefore $f : (I_1)_{\leq a} \longrightarrow (I_2)_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal.

To prove that (iii) entails (i), assume that $a \in A \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Set $B := I_2$ and take any $b \in B$. By (iii) we obtain that $f : (I_1)_{\leq a} \longrightarrow (I_2)_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal. Therefore, for each $\alpha \leq a$ there exists $\tilde{\beta} \leq b$ such that we have $f(\varepsilon_2) \leq \alpha$ for every $\varepsilon_2 \leq \tilde{\beta}$. small, let's say for $\varepsilon_2 \leq \tilde{\beta} \leq b$. But $\mathbb{I}_1$ is segmented, so there exists a' such that $(\emptyset, a'] \subseteq A$. Once again from (iii) we also have that $f : (I_1)_{\leq a'} \longrightarrow (I_2)_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal. Hence for some $\bar{\beta} \leq b$ we have $f(\varepsilon_2) \leq a'$ for each $\varepsilon_2 \leq \bar{\beta}$. Since $(I_2, \leq)$ is downward directed, we can find $\beta \in I_2 = B$ such that $\beta \leq \bar{\beta}$ and $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}$. Therefore, for each $\varepsilon_2 \leq \beta$ we have both $f(\varepsilon_2) \leq \alpha$ and $f(\varepsilon_2) \in (\emptyset, a'] \subseteq A$. This proves that $f : A_{\leq a} \longrightarrow B_{\leq b}$ is infinitesimal, which completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 18. *Every segmented downward directed set of indices $\mathbb{I}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbb{I}}$ in the category **Ind**.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the identity $1_I : i \in I \mapsto i \in I$, which is a morphism $1_I \in \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbb{I}, \bar{\mathbb{I}}) \cap \mathbf{Ind}(\bar{\mathbb{I}}, \mathbb{I})$ because of condition (ii) of Thm 17. $\square$

Therefore, up to isomorphism, the only segmented downward directed set of indices having $(I, \leq)$ as underlying pre-ordered set is $\bar{\mathbb{I}}$.

4. ASYMPTOTIC GAUGE COLOMBEAU TYPE ALGEBRAS

4.1. Asymptotic Gauges. In [11], we introduced the notion of asymptotic gauge. The idea was to use it as an asymptotic scale that generalizes the role of the polynomial family $(\varepsilon^n)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in classical constructions of Colombeau algebras. We recall the notations and notions from [11] that we will use in the present work. For all the proofs, we refer to [11].

Definition 19. Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices. All big-Os in this definition have to be meant as $O_{\mathcal{I}}$ in $\mathbb{I}$ (see Def. 4). We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is an *asymptotic gauge on $\mathbb{I}$* (briefly: AG on $\mathbb{I}$) if

- (i) $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^I$;
- (ii) $\exists i \in \mathcal{B} : \lim_{\mathbb{I}} i = \infty$;
- (iii) $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{B} \exists p \in \mathcal{B} : i \cdot j = O(p)$;
- (iv) $\forall i \in \mathcal{B} \forall r \in \mathbb{R} \exists \sigma \in \mathcal{B} : r \cdot i = O(\sigma)$;

(v) $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{B} \exists s \in \mathcal{B} : s \succ_{\mathbb{I}} 0, |i| + |j| = O(s)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an AG on the set of indices $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$. The set of *moderate nets generated by $\mathcal{B}$* is

$$\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^I \mid \exists b \in \mathcal{B} : x_\varepsilon = O(b_\varepsilon)\}.$$

Let us observe that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$ is an AG, and that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$. Every asymptotic gauge formalizes a notion of “growth condition”. We can hence use an asymptotic gauge $\mathcal{B}$ to define moderate nets. We can also use the reciprocals of nets taken from another asymptotic gauge $\mathcal{Z}$ to define negligible nets. From this point of view, it is natural to introduce the following:

Definition 20. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}$ be AG on the same set of indices $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$. The *set of $\mathcal{B}$ -moderate nets* is

$$\mathcal{E}_M(\mathcal{B}, \Omega) := \{u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)^I \mid \forall K \subseteq \Omega \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \exists b \in \mathcal{B} : \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| = O(b_\varepsilon)\}.$$

The *set of $\mathcal{Z}$ -negligible nets* is

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Z}, \Omega) := \{u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)^I \mid \forall K \subseteq \Omega \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}_{>0} : \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| = O(z_\varepsilon^{-1})\}. \quad (4.1)$$

In [11], we proved that if $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z})$ then the quotient $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathcal{B}, \Omega)/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Z}, \Omega)$ is an algebra. When this happens, we will use the following:

Definition 21. Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}$ be AG on the same set of indices $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ such that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z})$. The *Colombeau AG algebra generated by $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$* is the quotient

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}) := \mathcal{E}_M(\mathcal{B}, \Omega)/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Z}, \Omega).$$

We will use the notation $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}, \Omega)$ to emphasize the dependence on the open set Ω .

Morphisms between sets of indices can be used to construct asymptotic gauges, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 22. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an asymptotic gauge on the set of indices $\mathbb{I}_1$ and let $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ be a morphism. Then

$$\mathcal{B} \circ f = \{b \circ f \mid b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is an asymptotic gauge on $\mathbb{I}_2$.

Proof. All the defining properties of an asymptotic gauge for $\mathcal{B} \circ f$ can be derived from Cor. 13. For example, let us prove that $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{B} \circ f \exists s \in \mathcal{B} \circ f : s \succ_{\mathbb{I}} 0, |i| + |j| = O(s)$. Let $i, j \in \mathcal{B} \circ f$ and let $i = b_1 \circ f, j = b_2 \circ f$. Let $b_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $|b_1| + |b_2| = O(b_3)$. Then by Cor. 13 we deduce that $|b_1 \circ f| + |b_2 \circ f| = O(b_3 \circ f)$. Setting $s = b_3 \circ f$ we therefore have that $|i| + |j| = O(s)$. $\square$

5. THE CATEGORIES AG_2 AND AG_1

We want to prove that the Colombeau AG algebra construction of Def. 21 is functorial in the pair $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z})$ of AG. In proving this result, the following category arises naturally:

Definition 23. We set

(i) $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \text{AG}_2$ if $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}$ are AG on some set of indices $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z})$.

- (ii) Let $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1), (\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2) \in \text{AG}_2$ be pairs of AG on the sets of indices resp. $\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2$. We say that $f \in \text{AG}_2((\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1), (\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2))$ is a *morphism of pairs of AG* if $f \in \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2)$, $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$ and $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_1 \circ f)$.

Theorem 24. *AG_2 with set-theoretical composition and identity is a category.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the composition. Let $f \in \text{AG}_2((\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1), (\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2))$ and $g \in \text{AG}_2((\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2), (\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{Z}_3))$. By definition, $f \in \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2)$ and $g \in \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{I}_3)$, therefore $f \circ g \in \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_3)$ by Thm. 14. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ (f \circ g)) = \{b \circ f \circ g \mid b \in \mathcal{B}_1\} \subseteq \{b \circ g \mid b \in \mathcal{B}_2\} = \mathcal{B}_2 \circ g,$$

since $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$. But $\mathcal{B}_2 \circ g \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ g) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_3)$, from which the first part of the conclusion follows. To prove the second part of the conclusion we notice that, as $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_1 \circ f)$, we have that

$$\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_2 \circ g) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M((\mathcal{Z}_1 \circ f) \circ g) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_1 \circ (f \circ g)),$$

and the thesis follows since $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_3) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_2 \circ g)$ by hypothesis. $\square$

The generalization with two AG is a relatively new step in considering Colombeau like algebras. It is therefore natural to consider also the following

Definition 25. We say that $\mathcal{B} \in \text{AG}_1$ if $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \in \text{AG}_2$. We set $f \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ if $f \in \text{AG}_2((\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_2))$. We call such an f a *morphism of AG*. In this case, Def. 23 (ii) becomes $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$.

Of course AG_1 is embedded into AG_2 by means of $\mathcal{B} \mapsto (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ and of the identity on arrows. By an innocuous abuse of language, we can hence say that AG_1 is a subcategory of AG_2 .

Example 26.

- (i) Let $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}^s$, let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(\varepsilon^{-n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{(\varepsilon^{-2n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $f, g : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ such that $f(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2$ and $g(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ induce morphisms $\mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{B}_1$. Clearly $f \circ g = 1_{\mathcal{B}_2}$ and $g \circ f = 1_{\mathcal{B}_1}$, therefore $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ are isomorphic.
- (ii) Let $\mathbb{I}_1 = \mathbb{I}^s$, $\mathbb{I}_2 = \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ (see Example 9 (v)), let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(\varepsilon^{-n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{(n^m)_n \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $f : \mathbb{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_2$ such that $f(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ induces a morphism $\mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}_2$ and $g : \mathbb{I}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_1$ such that $g(\varepsilon) = \lfloor \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rfloor$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ induces a morphism $\mathcal{B}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{B}_1$.
- (iii) Let $o : \overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{I}}^e$ be the morphism given by the maps o that maps every $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0$ to the order $o(\varphi)$ of φ . Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(b_n^m)_n \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $b_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{(b_\varphi^n)_\varphi \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $b_\varphi = \frac{1}{o(\varphi)+1}$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Then $\mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{o} \mathcal{B}_2$ is a morphism.
- (iv) Set $f(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \cdot \sin(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{B}^s := \{\varepsilon^{-a} \mid a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$. Then $\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 \leq f(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$, and this implies $f \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}^s, \mathcal{B}^s)$. Let us note that f is not invertible in any neighbourhood of 0 so that it is not an isomorphism of AG.

In [11], we defined two asymptotic gauges $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ to be equivalent if and only if $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$. Within the present categorical framework, this definition is motivated by the following result.

Theorem 27. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an asymptotic gauge on $\mathbb{I}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to observe that, by definition, $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{1_I} \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$ is a morphism and, since $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$, also $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{1_I} \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism. $\square$

In particular, it follows that for every two asymptotic gauges $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ defined on the same set of indices $\mathbb{I}$, we have that if $\mathcal{B}_1$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{B}_2$ then they are isomorphic. Conversely, if $f \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is an isomorphism, then $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f \circ f^{-1}) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ f^{-1}) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1)$, and hence $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ f^{-1})$. Analogously, $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f)$. In particular, the identity $1_I \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \cap \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1)$ if and only if these AG are equivalent. For example $\{(\varepsilon^{-a}) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$ and $\{(\varepsilon^{-n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are equivalent. Nevertheless, it is not difficult to prove that not all isomorphic AG on the same set of indices are equivalent. To prove this result, we need to recall (see [11, Def. 36]) that an AG $\mathcal{B}$ is called *principal* if there exists a *generator* $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b)) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$, where $\text{AG}(b) := \{b^m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem 28. *For every principal AG $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ on $\mathbb{I}^s$, if $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$ then there exists a principal AG $\mathcal{B}_3$ such that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_3) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \text{AG}(b_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \text{AG}(b_2)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $b_{1,\varepsilon}, b_{2,\varepsilon} > 1$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Moreover, as $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$, we have $b_1 = O_{\mathcal{I}^s}(b_2)$. So, without loss of generality, we can also assume that $b_{1,\varepsilon} < b_{2,\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Since $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$, we have that $b_2 \notin \mathbb{R}_M(b_1)$, namely that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \exists \delta < \varepsilon : n \cdot b_{1,\delta}^n < b_{2,\delta}.$$

Now, we let $b_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{(0,1]}$ be a net such that $b_{1,\varepsilon} \leq b_{3,\varepsilon} \leq b_{2,\varepsilon}$ for ε small, and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$b_{3,\bar{\varepsilon}_n} = \begin{cases} b_{1,\bar{\varepsilon}_n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ b_{2,\bar{\varepsilon}_n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}_1 = 1$ and

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_n \in \left\{ \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{n-1} \right\} \mid n \cdot b_{1,\varepsilon}^n < b_{2,\varepsilon} \right\}$$

for every $n \geq 2$. Since $b_{1,\varepsilon} \leq b_{3,\varepsilon} \leq b_{2,\varepsilon}$ for ε small, we have that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b_3)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$. Let us prove that the reverse inclusions do not hold. To prove that, let us assume, by contradiction, that $\mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b_3)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1)$. In particular, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_3 = O(b_1^k)$, namely there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, $H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $b_{3,\delta} \leq H \cdot b_{1,\delta}^k$ for all $\delta < \varepsilon$. Set

$$N := \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ is even and } n \geq \max \left\{ \lceil H \rceil, \left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil, k \right\} \right\}.$$

We have

$$N \cdot b_{1,\bar{\varepsilon}_N}^N < b_{2,\bar{\varepsilon}_N} = b_{3,\bar{\varepsilon}_N} \leq H \cdot b_{1,\bar{\varepsilon}_N}^k \leq N \cdot b_{1,\bar{\varepsilon}_N}^N,$$

which is absurd. To prove that $\mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b_3)) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$, we proceed in a similar way. Let us assume, by contradiction, that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b_3))$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $b_2 = O(b_3^k)$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, $H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be such that $b_{2,\delta} \leq H \cdot b_{3,\delta}^k$ for all $\delta < \varepsilon$. Set

$$N := \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ is odd and } n \geq \max \left\{ \lceil H \rceil, \left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil, k \right\} \right\}.$$

We have

$$b_{2,\bar{\varepsilon}_N} \leq H \cdot b_{3,\bar{\varepsilon}_N}^k \leq N \cdot b_{3,\bar{\varepsilon}_N}^N = N \cdot b_{1,\bar{\varepsilon}_N}^N < b_{2,\bar{\varepsilon}_N},$$

which is absurd. $\square$

Corollary 29. *For every principal AG $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ on $\mathbb{I}^s$, if $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$ then there exists an infinite sequence $\langle \mathcal{A}_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ of principal AG on $\mathbb{I}^s$ such that*

$$\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{A}_{-1}) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{A}_0) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{A}_1) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 28. $\square$

In particular, if we let $\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}} := \{(\varepsilon^{-n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}} := \{(e^{n/\varepsilon}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, by Corollary 29 we have that there are infinitely many principal non equivalent AG between $\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}$. However, as we will show in Section 6, $\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}} := \{(\varepsilon^{-n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}} := \{(e^{n/\varepsilon}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are isomorphic, and this shows that not all isomorphic AG are equivalent.

In [11], we proved that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B})$ is the minimal (with respect to inclusion) asymptotically closed solid ring containing the AG $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, we deduce that, modulo isomorphism, all the objects in a skeleton subcategory of AG_1 are asymptotically closed solid rings.

In [11], we introduced the notion of “exponential of an AG”, which was crucial to study linear ODE’s with generalized constant coefficients. We recall its definition.

Definition 30. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an AG, and let $\mu : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a non decreasing function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(x) &= +\infty; \\ \forall b \in \mathcal{B} \exists c \in \mathcal{B} : \mu(b_\varepsilon)^2 &<_{\mathbb{I}} \mu(c_\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

We set $\mu(\mathcal{B}) := \{(\mu(H \cdot b_\varepsilon))_\varepsilon \mid H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$. In particular,

$$e^{\mathcal{B}} := \{e^{H \cdot b} \mid H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is called the *exponential of $\mathcal{B}$* .

The following results will be needed to prove Thm. 35.

Lemma 31. *In the hypotheses of Def. 30, we have that $\mu(\mathcal{B})$ is an AG.*

Proof. Def. 19 (ii) and [11, Lemma 18] imply the existence of $i \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\lim_{\mathbb{I}} i = +\infty$. Therefore, our assumptions yield $\lim_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}} \mu(i_\varepsilon) = +\infty$, which proves Def. 19 (ii) for $\mu(\mathcal{B})$. The asymptotic closure with respect to sum of absolute values follows from monotonicity of μ and the inequality $\mu(H \cdot i_\varepsilon) + \mu(K \cdot j_\varepsilon) \leq 2 \cdot \mu(H \cdot |i_\varepsilon| + K \cdot |j_\varepsilon|)$. The asymptotic closure with respect to product follows from the inequality

$$\mu(H \cdot i_\varepsilon) \cdot \mu(K \cdot j_\varepsilon) \leq \mu(H \cdot |i_\varepsilon| + K \cdot |j_\varepsilon|)^2$$

and from assumption (5.1). $\square$

Lemma 32. *Let $\mathbb{I} = (I, \leq, \mathcal{I})$ be a set of indices, and let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^I$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a non decreasing function. Then $x_\varepsilon = O[\mu(y_\varepsilon)]$ and $y <_{\mathbb{I}} z$ imply $x_\varepsilon = O[\mu(z_\varepsilon)]$.*

Proof. From the assumptions we get

$$\begin{aligned} \exists A \in \mathcal{I} \forall a \in A : x_\varepsilon &= O_{aA}[\mu(y_\varepsilon)]; \\ \exists B \in \mathcal{I} \forall b \in B \exists \varepsilon_0 \leq b \forall \varepsilon \in B_{\leq \varepsilon_0} : y_\varepsilon &< z_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Def. 1 (iii) implies the existence of $D \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $D \subseteq A \cap B$. For $d \in D$, [12, Thm. 2.8 (x)] yields $x_\varepsilon = O_{dD}[\mu(y_\varepsilon)]$, and therefore, for suitable $H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\varepsilon_0 \leq d$, $\varepsilon_1 \leq d$, we can write $|x_\varepsilon| \leq H|\mu(y_\varepsilon)| = H\mu(y_\varepsilon)$ for each $\varepsilon \in D_{\leq \varepsilon_1}$ and $y_\varepsilon < z_\varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon \in D_{\leq \varepsilon_0}$. Since $(\emptyset, d] = I_{\leq d}$ is directed, we can find $\bar{\varepsilon} \leq d$, ε_0 , ε_1 . Therefore, for each $\varepsilon \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$ we have $|x_\varepsilon| \leq H\mu(y_\varepsilon) \leq H\mu(z_\varepsilon)$ because μ is non decreasing. This proves our conclusion. $\square$

Corollary 33. Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ be AG on the same set of indices $\mathbb{I}$, and let $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ verify the assumptions of Def. 30. Then $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$ implies $\mathbb{R}_M(\mu(\mathcal{B}_1)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mu(\mathcal{B}_2))$.

Proof. Let $(y'_\varepsilon) = (\mu(H \cdot b'_\varepsilon)) \in \mu(\mathcal{B}_1)$, with $b' \in \mathcal{B}_1$, and let $b'' \in \mathcal{B}_2$ be such that $b' <_{\mathbb{I}} b''$. Then we have that $(y'_\varepsilon) <_{\mathbb{I}} (\mu(H \cdot b''_\varepsilon)) \in \mu(\mathcal{B}_2)$ since μ is monotone. $\square$

Definition 34. Let $\text{AG}_{\leq}$ be the subcategory of AG_1 having the same objects of AG_1 , and arrows such that $f \in \text{AG}_{\leq}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ if $f \in \text{IND}(\mathbb{I}_1, \mathbb{I}_2)$ and $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$. Let μ verifies the assumptions of Def. 30, and let $E_\mu : \text{AG}_{\leq} \rightarrow \text{AG}_{\leq}$ be defined on objects and maps of $\text{AG}_{\leq}$ as follows:

- (i) $E(\mathcal{B}) := \mu(\mathcal{B})$ for each $\mathcal{B} \in \text{AG}_{\leq}$;
- (ii) $E(f) := f$ for each $f \in \text{AG}_{\leq}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$.

Theorem 35. $\text{AG}_{\leq}$ is a subcategory of AG_1 . If μ verifies the assumptions of Def. 30, then $E_\mu : \text{AG}_{\leq} \rightarrow \text{AG}_{\leq}$ is a functor.

Proof. To prove the first part, assume that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$ and $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2 \circ g) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_3)$. Then if $b_1 \circ f <_{\mathbb{I}_2} b_2$ and $b_2 \circ g <_{\mathbb{I}_3} b_3$, for $b_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$, then $b_1 \circ f \circ g <_{\mathbb{I}_3} b_2 \circ g <_{\mathbb{I}_3} b_3$ by Cor. 13. If $b_3 <_{\mathbb{I}_3} b_2 \circ g$ and $b_2 <_{\mathbb{I}_2} b_1 \circ f$ then $b_3 <_{\mathbb{I}_3} b_2 \circ g <_{\mathbb{I}_3} b_1 \circ f \circ g$ once again by Cor. 13. This implies that $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ (f \circ g)) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_3)$, hence $f \circ g \in \text{AG}_{\leq}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_3)$. This and Cor. 33 show that $\text{AG}_{\leq}$ is a category. By Cor. 33, we also have that $\text{AG}_{\leq}$ is a subcategory of AG_1 . To show the second part, since E_μ is the identity on arrows, it suffices to observe that $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(\mathcal{B})$ have the same set of indices for every AG $\mathcal{B}$, and that $\mu(\mathcal{B}_1) \circ f = \mu(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ f)$. Thus it follows by Cor. 33 that $E_\mu(\mathcal{B}_1) = \mu(\mathcal{B}_1) \xrightarrow{f} \mu(\mathcal{B}_2) = E_\mu(\mathcal{B}_2)$ is an arrow in $\text{AG}_{\leq}$ for every arrow $\mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}_2$ in $\text{AG}_{\leq}$. $\square$

Now we want to prove that the map $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}, \Omega) \mapsto \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}, \Omega)$ is a functor. Clearly, $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \text{AG}_2$, so we need to introduce a category having as objects open sets like Ω :

Definition 36. We denote by $\mathcal{OR}^\infty$ the category having as objects $\{\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \Omega \text{ open}\}$ and as morphisms $\mathcal{OR}^\infty(U, V) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, V)$.

Therefore, we can now prove the following:

Theorem 37. $\mathcal{G} : \text{AG}_2 \times (\mathcal{OR}^\infty)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a functor, where $\text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the category of commutative algebras over $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $i \in \text{AG}_2((\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1), (\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2))$ be a morphism of pairs of AG and $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega_2, \Omega_1)$, Ω_j being an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n_j}$. The natural definition of $\mathcal{G}(i, h)$ to get that $\mathcal{G}(i, h) : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2, \Omega_2)$ is a morphism of algebras is

$$\mathcal{G}(i, h) : [u_{\varepsilon_1}] \mapsto [u_{\varepsilon_2} \circ h].$$

To prove that this definition is correct, we assume $K_2 \subseteq \Omega_2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n_2}$. Since $(u_{\varepsilon_1}) \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathcal{B}_1, \Omega_1)$ and $h(K_2) =: K_1 \subseteq \Omega_1$, we obtain

$$\exists b' \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \sup_{x \in K_1} |\partial^\alpha u_{\varepsilon_1}(x)| = O(b'_{\varepsilon_1}) \text{ as } \varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{I}_1. \quad (5.2)$$

But $b' \in \mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1)$, so $b' \circ i \in \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_1 \circ i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_2)$. We can hence write $b'_{i_{\varepsilon_2}} = O(b''_{\varepsilon_2})$ as $\varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{I}_2$ for a suitable $b'' \in \mathcal{B}_2$. This, Cor. 13 (ii) and (5.2) yield

$$\sup_{x \in K_2} |\partial^\alpha (u_{i_{\varepsilon_2}} \circ h)(x)| = O(b'_{i_{\varepsilon_2}}) = O(b''_{\varepsilon_2}) \text{ as } \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{I}_2.$$

This shows that $[u_{i_{\varepsilon_2}} \circ h] \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathcal{B}_2, \Omega_2)$. Now assume that $(u_{\varepsilon_1}) - (v_{\varepsilon_1}) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1)$, K_2 , α as above, and $z \in (\mathcal{Z}_2)_{>0}$. Since $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{Z}_1 \circ i)$, we can write $z_{\varepsilon_2} = O(\zeta_{i_{\varepsilon_2}})$ as $\varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{I}_2$ for a suitable $\zeta \in (\mathcal{Z}_1)_{>0}$, and hence $\zeta_{i_{\varepsilon_2}}^{-1} = O(z_{\varepsilon_2}^{-1})$. We thus obtain

$$\sup_{x \in K_1} |\partial^\alpha u_{\varepsilon_1}(x) - \partial^\alpha v_{\varepsilon_1}(x)| = O(\zeta_{\varepsilon_1}^{-1}) \text{ as } \varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{I}_1,$$

where $h(K_2) =: K_1$. From this and Cor. 13 (ii) we obtain the conclusion

$$\sup_{x \in K_2} |\partial^\alpha (u_{i_{\varepsilon_2}} \circ h)(x) - \partial^\alpha (v_{i_{\varepsilon_2}} \circ h)(x)| = O(z_{\varepsilon_2}^{-1}) \text{ as } \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{I}_2.$$

The proof that $\mathcal{G}(i, h)$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras follows immediately from the pointwise definitions of the algebra operations. The functorial properties of $\mathcal{G}$ follow directly from the definition of $\mathcal{G}(i, h)$ and the fact that in the domain $\text{AG}_2 \times (\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^\infty)^{\text{op}}$ composition and identity are the corresponding set-theoretical operations. $\square$

Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^\infty$ having as objects the open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, as morphisms, the inclusions. From Thm. 37 we get that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}, -) : (\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a functor, i.e. it is a presheaf. Trivially generalizing [5], it is also possible to prove that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Z}, -)$ is a sheaf of differential algebras. In particular, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(i, h)} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2, \Omega_2) \\ \partial_1^\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_2^\alpha \\ \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(i, h)} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2, \Omega_2) \end{array} \quad (5.3)$$

for each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and each inclusion $h \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n(\Omega_2, \Omega_1)$. Clearly, in (5.3), $\partial_k^\alpha : [u_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{Z}_k, \Omega_k) \mapsto [\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{Z}_k, \Omega_k)$. Let us note that, in general, diagram (5.3) doesn't commute if h is an arbitrary smooth function. For this reason, when we want to deal with differential algebras, we will always consider $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$ instead of the category $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^\infty$.

As a consequence of Thm. 37, we also have that essentially all the constructions of Colombeau-like algebras are functorial. For example, we can consider the set of indices $\mathbb{I}^s$ of the special algebra, the AG $\mathcal{B}^s := \{(\varepsilon^{-a}) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$, and the full subcategory $\text{AG}_{\mathbb{I}^s}$ of AG_1 of all the AG on $\mathbb{I}^s$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathcal{B}, \Omega) := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}, \Omega)$ is a functor $\mathcal{G}^s : \text{AG}_{\mathbb{I}^s} \times (\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^\infty)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which corresponds to the usual sheaf via the restriction $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathcal{B}^s, \Omega)$ only for $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$. Analogously, we can consider $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, $\mathcal{G}^e$, $\mathcal{G}^d$ and $\mathcal{G}^2$.

We also finally note that if we consider an inclusion $h \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n(\Omega_2, \Omega_1)$ and a morphism of pairs of AG $i \in \text{AG}_2((\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1), (\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2))$, then $\mathcal{G}(i, h) : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2, \Omega_2)$ preserves all polynomial and differential operations. Of course, it also takes generalized functions in the domain $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1)$ into generalized functions in the codomain $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2, \Omega_2)$. We can therefore state that $\mathcal{G}(i, h)$ permits to relate differential problems framed in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{Z}_1, \Omega_1)$ to those framed in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{Z}_2, \Omega_2)$; see also the next Thm. 38.

6. AN UNEXPECTED ISOMORPHISM

If we set $\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}} := \{(\varepsilon^{-n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}} := \{(e^{n/\varepsilon}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, it is well known (see [5, 11]) that an ODE like

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) - [\varepsilon^{-1}] \cdot x(t) = 0; \\ x(0) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

has no solution in the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}, \Omega) = \mathcal{G}^s(\Omega)$, but it has a (unique) solution $x(t) = \left[e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}t} \right]$, $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_c(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}})$, in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}, \mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, if we set $\lambda(\varepsilon) := -\frac{1}{\log \varepsilon}$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $\lambda(1) := 1$, then we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lambda(\varepsilon) = 0^+$ and hence, by Example 9 (ii), $\lambda \in \text{IND}(\mathbb{I}^s, \mathbb{I}^s)$ is a morphism of set of indices. Moreover, $((e^{n/\varepsilon}) \circ \lambda)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-n}$ and hence $\mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}} \circ \lambda) = \mathbb{R}_M(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}})$. Therefore, $\lambda \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}})$ is a morphism of AG. Analogously, if we set $\eta(\varepsilon) := e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $\eta(1) := 1$, then we have $\lambda = \eta^{-1}$ and $\eta \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}})$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}$ as AG. Thm. 37 thus yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}, \Omega) &\simeq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}, \Omega) \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}) &\simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}). \end{aligned}$$

This does not imply that the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}, \mathbb{R})$ is useless, because we still have the fact that the Cauchy problem (6.1) has no solution in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}, \mathbb{R})$. Nonetheless, we can say that the isomorphism $\lambda \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}})$ transforms (6.1) into

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) - [-\log \varepsilon] \cdot x(t) = 0; \\ x(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

Therefore, (6.2) has solution in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{pol}}, \mathbb{R})$ if and only if (6.1) has solution in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{exp}}, \mathbb{R})$.

This example is generalized in the following theorem, where we talk, essentially for the sake of simplicity, of ODE.

Theorem 38. *Let $\mathbb{I} \in \text{IND}$ be a set of indices and let $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^I$ be infinite nets, i.e. such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}} b_\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}} c_\varepsilon = +\infty$. Set*

$$\text{AG}(b) := \{(b_\varepsilon^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

for the AG generated by b (and analogously for c). Assume that $\eta, \lambda \in \text{IND}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I})$ are morphisms of $\mathbb{I}$ such that $\eta = \lambda^{-1}$:

$$b_{\eta(\varepsilon)} = O_{\mathcal{I}}(c_\varepsilon) \quad , \quad c_{\lambda(\varepsilon)} = O_{\mathcal{I}}(b_\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{I}. \quad (6.3)$$

Then

- (i) $\text{AG}(b) \simeq \text{AG}(c)$ as AG;
- (ii) $\mathcal{G}(\text{AG}(b), \Omega) \simeq \mathcal{G}(\text{AG}(c), \Omega)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}(\text{AG}(b)) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}(\text{AG}(c))$;

(iii) let $F = [F_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\text{AG}(b), \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, $\bar{x} = [\bar{x}_\varepsilon] \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$, $\bar{t} = [\bar{t}_\varepsilon] \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = F(x(t), t); \\ x(\bar{t}) = \bar{x}, \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

has a solution $x \in \mathcal{G}(\text{AG}(b), (t_1, t_2))$ if and only if the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = [F_{\lambda(\varepsilon)}](y(t), t); \\ y([\bar{t}_{\lambda(\varepsilon)}]) = [\bar{x}_{\lambda(\varepsilon)}], \end{cases} \quad (6.5)$$

has a solution $y \in \mathcal{G}(\text{AG}(c), (t_1, t_2))$.

Proof. Assumption (6.3) yields $(b^n \circ \eta)(\varepsilon) = b_{\eta(\varepsilon)}^n = O_{\mathcal{I}}(c_\varepsilon^n)$ so $\mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b) \circ \eta) = \mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(c))$. Analogously, we have $\mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(c) \circ \lambda) = \mathbb{R}_M(\text{AG}(b))$. This shows that η and λ are morphisms of AG, and hence it proves (i). Property (ii) follows from the functorial property of $\mathcal{G}(-, \Omega) : \text{AG}_1 \rightarrow \text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$. To show (iii), let $x = [x_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\text{AG}(b), (t_1, t_2))$ be a solution of (6.4) and set $y := \mathcal{G}(\lambda, 1_{(t_1, t_2)})(x) = [x_{\lambda(\varepsilon)}]$. Therefore, Thm. 37 and (5.3) yield the conclusion. $\square$

For instance, if $b, c : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are homeomorphisms such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} b_\varepsilon = 0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_\varepsilon$, then $\eta := c \circ b^{-1}$ and $\lambda := \eta^{-1}$ verify the assumptions of this theorem.

7. THE CATEGORY OF COLOMBEAU ALGEBRAS

In this section, we want to show that the Colombeau AG algebra, the related derivation of generalized functions and the embedding of distributions are all functorial constructions with respect to the change of AG. Although in this section we work on an arbitrary set of indices, we restrict our study only to embeddings of Schwartz's distributions defined through a mollifier. Therefore, we are going to deal with mollifiers with null positive moments, namely with function $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int \rho(x) x^k dx = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|k| \geq 1$. We call *Colombeau mollifier* any such function.

Definition 39. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ be a fixed natural number. Then PAG denotes the category of principal AG, whose objects are pairs $(b, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a principal AG on a set of indices $\mathbb{I}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is a generator of $\mathcal{B}$. Arrows $f \in \text{PAG}((b_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (b_2, \mathcal{B}_2))$ are morphisms $f \in \text{AG}_1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ of AG that preserve the generator, i.e. such that $b_1 \circ f = b_2$. Let us note that if $f \in \text{PAG}((b_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (b_2, \mathcal{B}_2))$ and $g \in \text{PAG}((b_2, \mathcal{B}_2), (b_3, \mathcal{B}_3))$, then the composition in PAG is given as in AG_1 , i.e. by $f \circ g$ because $f : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$, $g : I_3 \rightarrow I_2$.

Whilst the previous category acts as domain in the Colombeau construction, the following category of Colombeau algebras acts as codomain.

Definition 40. Let $\text{DALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the category of differential real algebras. We say that $(G, \partial, i) \in \text{COL}_n$ if:

- (i) $(G, \partial) : (\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{DALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a functor (i.e. it is a presheaf of differential real algebras). In particular, $\partial_\Omega^\alpha : G(\Omega) \rightarrow G(\Omega)$ is a derivation for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$.
- (ii) If we think at both functors $\mathcal{D}', G : (\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{VECT}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with values in the category of real vector spaces, then $i : \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow G$ is a natural transformation such that $\ker(i_\Omega) = \{0\}$ for every $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, for every $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$, we have:

- (iii) $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ is a subalgebra of $G(\Omega)$;
- (iv) $i_\Omega(f) = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$;
- (v) Let $D_\Omega^\alpha : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ be the $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ derivation of distributions, then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{i_\Omega} & G(\Omega) \\ D_\Omega^\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_\Omega^\alpha \\ \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{i_\Omega} & G(\Omega) \end{array} \quad (7.1)$$

An arrow $\varphi \in \text{COL}_n((G, \partial, i), (H, d, j))$ is a natural transformation $\varphi : (G, \partial) \longrightarrow (H, d)$ such that for all $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{j_\Omega} & H(\Omega) \\ i_\Omega \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi_\Omega & \\ G(\Omega) & & \end{array} \quad (7.2)$$

The following results prove the goal of the present section:

Lemma 41. *COL_n is a category.*

Proof. For every object $(G, \partial, i) \in \text{COL}_n$, the identity $1_{G(\Omega)} : G(\Omega) \longrightarrow G(\Omega)$ serves as the identity arrow of (G, ∂, i) in COL_n . To conclude the proof, it is sufficient to consider the composition of arrows. Let $\varphi \in \text{COL}_n((G, \partial, i), (H, d, j))$, $\psi \in \text{COL}_n((H, d, j), (F, D, k))$, then $\psi \circ \varphi : (G, \partial) \longrightarrow (F, D)$ is a natural transformation and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G(\Omega) & \xleftarrow{i_\Omega} & \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{k_\Omega} & F(\Omega) \\ & \searrow \varphi_\Omega & \downarrow j_\Omega & \nearrow \psi_\Omega & \\ & & H(\Omega) & & \end{array}$$

In particular, we have that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{k_\Omega} & F(\Omega) \\ i_\Omega \downarrow & \nearrow (\psi \circ \varphi)_\Omega & \\ G(\Omega) & & \end{array}$$

commutes, namely $\psi \circ \varphi \in \text{COL}_n((G, \partial, i), (F, D, k))$. □

Theorem 42. *Let ρ be a Colombeau mollifier. For each $(b, \mathcal{B}) \in \text{PAG}$, set*

$$\text{Col}_n^\rho(b, \mathcal{B}) := (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, -), \partial, i_b^\rho),$$

where i_b^ρ is the usual embedding defined using the generator b and the fixed mollifier ρ (see [11, Sec. 4] for details). For $f \in \text{PAG}((b_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (b_2, \mathcal{B}_2))$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}^n$, set

$$\text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega : [u_{\varepsilon_1}] \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \Omega) \mapsto [u_{f(\varepsilon_2)}] \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \Omega). \quad (7.3)$$

Then

$$\text{Col}_n^\rho : \text{PAG} \longrightarrow \text{COL}_n$$

is a functor.

Proof. The property $\text{Col}_n^\rho(b, \mathcal{B}) \in \text{COL}_n$ for every $(b, \mathcal{B}) \in \text{PAG}$ is a consequence of the results about Colombeau principal AG-algebras and embeddings of distributions proved in [11, Sec. 3 and 4].

We are left to prove the properties of Col_n^ρ with respect to arrows. First of all, let us prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_n^\rho(f) &\in \text{COL}_n(\text{Col}_n^\rho(b_1, \mathcal{B}_1), \text{Col}_n^\rho(b_2, \mathcal{B}_2)) = \\ &= \text{COL}_n((\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, -), \partial, i_{b_1}^\rho), (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, -), \partial, i_{b_2}^\rho)) \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

for every $f \in \text{PAG}((b_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (b_2, \mathcal{B}_2))$. Theorem 37 gives that $\text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \Omega)$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras (we recall that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \Omega) := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}, \Omega)$ for every AG $\mathcal{B}$). From [11, Thm. 4.7], it suffices to prove the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{(i_{b_2}^\rho)_\Omega} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, \Omega) \\ (i_{b_1}^\rho)_\Omega \downarrow & \nearrow \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega & \\ \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \Omega) & & \end{array}$$

only for compactly supported $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega \left[(i_{b_1}^\rho)_\Omega (T) \right] &= \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega [T * b_{1\varepsilon_1} \odot \rho] = \\ &= [T * b_{1f(\varepsilon_2)} \odot \rho] = [T * b_{2\varepsilon_2} \odot \rho] = \\ &= (i_{b_2}^\rho)_\Omega (T). \end{aligned}$$

If $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_{\Omega'} \{[u_{\varepsilon_1}]|_{\Omega'}\} &= \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_{\Omega'} [u_{\varepsilon_1}|_{\Omega'}] = \\ &= [u_{f(\varepsilon_2)}|_{\Omega'}] = \{\text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega [u_{\varepsilon_1}]\}|_{\Omega'}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\text{Col}_n^\rho(f) : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, -) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2, -)$ is a natural transformation. To show (7.4), there remains to prove that $\text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega$ is a morphism of differential algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega \{\partial^\alpha [u_{\varepsilon_1}]\} &= \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega [\partial u_{\varepsilon_1}^\alpha] = \\ &= [\partial^\alpha u_{f(\varepsilon_2)}] = \partial^\alpha \{\text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega [u_{\varepsilon_1}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1_I \in \text{PAG}((b, \mathcal{B}), (b, \mathcal{B}))$ is the identity in the category PAG , it is immediate to see that $\text{Col}_n^\rho(1_I) = 1_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}, -)} = 1_{\text{Col}_n^\rho(b, \mathcal{B})}$ from the definition of the map (7.3). Finally, let

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in \text{PAG}((b_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (b_2, \mathcal{B}_2)) \\ g &\in \text{PAG}((b_2, \mathcal{B}_2), (b_3, \mathcal{B}_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $[u_{\varepsilon_1}] \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1, \Omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_n^\rho(g)_\Omega \{ \text{Col}_n^\rho(f)_\Omega([u_{\varepsilon_1}]) \} &= \text{Col}_n^\rho(g)_\Omega([u_{f(\varepsilon_2)}]) = \\ &= [u_{f(g(\varepsilon_3))}] = \text{Col}_n^\rho(f \circ g)_\Omega([u_{\varepsilon_1}]). \end{aligned}$$

□

8. CONCLUSIONS

We are forced to consider a different AG when we have to deal with particular differential problems, whose solutions grow more than polynomially in ε . It is therefore natural to search for a notion of morphism of AG, and to see whether Colombeau AG constructions behave in the correct way with respect to these morphisms. The results of Sec. 5, 6, 7 show that both the construction of the differential algebra and that of the embedding by means of a mollifier are functorial with respect to a natural notion of morphism of AG. As shown in Sec. 6, this permits to relate differential problems solved for different AG.

REFERENCES

- [1] Colombeau, J.F., *New generalized functions and multiplication of distributions*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [2] Colombeau, J.F., *Elementary introduction to new generalized functions*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [3] Colombeau, J.F., *Multiplication of distributions - A tool in mathematics, numerical engineering and theoretical Physics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [4] Cutland, N., Kessler, C., Kopp, E., Ross, D. On Cauchy's notion of infinitesimal. *British J. Philos. Sci.*, 39(3):375-378, 1988.
- [5] Grosser, M., Kunzinger, M., Oberguggenberger, M., Steinbauer, R., *Geometric theory of generalized functions*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [6] Oberguggenberger, M., *Multiplication of Distributions and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Vol. 259. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics. Harlow, U.K.: Longman, 1992.
- [7] Delcroix, A., Topology and functoriality in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$ -algebras. Application to singular differential problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 359 (2009) 394–403.
- [8] Delcroix, A., Pilipović, S., Hasler, M.F., Valmorin, V., Sequence spaces with exponent weights. Realizations of Colombeau type algebras. *Dissertationes Mathematicae* 447, pp. 1 - 73, 2007.
- [9] Delcroix, A., Scarpalezos, D., Asymptotic scales-asymptotic algebras. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 1998, Vol. 6, No. 1-4, pp. 181-190.
- [10] Delcroix, A., Scarpalezos, D., Topology on Asymptotic Algebras of Generalized Functions and Applications. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* 129, pp. 1-14, 2000.
- [11] Giordano P., Luperi Baglini L., Asymptotic gauges: generalization of Colombeau type algebras. Accepted for publication on *Mathematische Nachrichten*. See arXiv:1408.1585.
- [12] Giordano P., Nigsch E., Unifying order structures for Colombeau algebras. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 1–17 (2015). DOI 10.1002/mana.201400277.
- [13] Giordano P., Wu E., *Categorical frameworks for generalized functions*. To appear in *Arabian Journal of Mathematics*, 2014.
- [14] Hasler, M.F., On the relation between $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$ -algebras and asymptotic algebras. Document de travail 2011-07. Décembre 2011. Centre d'Etude et de Recherche en Economie, Gestion, Modélisation et Informatique Appliquée.
- [15] Ligeza, J., Generalized periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations in the Colombeau algebra. *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae* 11. Katowice, pp. 67 - 87, 1997.
- [16] Ligeza, J., Remarks on generalized solutions of ordinary differential equations in the Colombeau algebra. *Mathematica Bohemica* 123, No. 3, pp. 301-316, 1998.
- [17] Steinbauer, R., Vickers, J.A., *On the Geroch-Traschen class of metrics*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26**, 2009.

- [18] Todorov, T.D., Vernaev, H., Full algebra of generalized functions and non-standard asymptotic analysis. *Log. Anal.* **1** (2008), 205-234.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, AUSTRIA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ
1, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: `paolo.giordano@univie.ac.at`, `lorenzo.luperi.baglini@univie.ac.at`